- 1		
1	Ethan J. Brown (SBN 218814)	
2	<u>ethan@bnsklaw.com</u> Sara C. Colón (SBN 281514)	
3	sara@bnsklaw.com BROWN NERI SMITH & KHAN LLP	
	11766 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1670	
4	Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: (310) 593-9890	
5	Facsimile: (310) 593-9980	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF LOS AN	IGELES - CENTRAL
11		
12	DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST, a Mauritius	Case No. BC607494
13	charitable trust,	DECLARATION OF SOPHIA BEKELE
14	Plaintiff,	ESHETE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
15	v.	Date: December 22, 2016
16	INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a	Hearing: 8:30 a.m. Dept.: 53
17	California corporation; ZA Central Registry, a	
18	South African non-profit company, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	[Filed concurrently: Declarations of Ethan J. Brown & Sara C. Colón; Motion for
19	Defendants.	Preliminary Injunction and Memorandum of
20		Points and Authorities]
21		RESERVATION ID: 161115174199
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	BEKELE DECLARATION	

## **DECLARATION OF SOPHIA BEKELE ESHETE**

I, Sophia Bekele Eshete, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am the founder and executive director of DotConnectAfrica Trust ("DCA") and I coordinated DCA's application for the .Africa generic Top-level Domain ("gTLD"). The matters referred to in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I believe that DCA submitted a well-qualified and compelling application for Africa, which was undermined at each stage of the application process by Defendant the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers' ("ICANN") through breaches of its Bylaws, Articles of Incorporation, and the New gTLD Guidebook due to its improper cooperation with the African Union Commission ("AUC"). The AUC is the backer of the competing application for the Africa gTLD submitted by UniForum S.A., now known as Intervenor ZA Central Registry ("ZACR").
- DCA planned to execute its mission of providing a continental Internet domain name to provide access to internet services for the people of Africa by acting as the registry for the .Africa gTLD.
- 4. DCA paid \$185,000, the fee required to all applicants, to ICANN for processing of its application.
- 5. DCA does not act as the registry for any other gTLDs and has not applied to act as the registry for any other gTLD.
- 6. If .Africa is delegated to ZACR before this case is resolved, DCA's mission will be seriously frustrated and funders will likely pull their support due to the uncertainty involved in the re-delegation process.
- 7. If .Africa is delegated to ZACR before this case is resolved DCA will likely be forced to stop operating due to a lack of funding.
- 8. The .Africa gTLD and the operating rights to the .Africa gTLD are unique assets. The .Africa gTLD is the regional identifier for the African continent, similar to the .LAT and .Asia domains. It is a valuable attribute for entities, professionals, and corporations seeking a regional

online identity. Only one entity can serve as the operator of .Africa and the rights to operate .Africa can only be delegated by ICANN. Once the gTLD is awarded and the party controlling it begins selling or offering its use to users of the Internet including businesses, organizations, persons and governments, it would be difficult if not impossible to unwind that control and provide it to another party.

- 9. Based on my understanding of ICANN's rules and the requirements of a registry, if .Africa were re-delegated from ZACR to DCA, third party registrar contracts would have to be unwound. Third parties with whom ZACR contracted to provide domain names under the .Africa gTLD would have to transition technically and contractually to DCA a process that would be costly and burdensome for all such that re-delegation is simply not viable here. Further, ZACR plans to charge more to registrars than DCA, which will create more complications in the re-delegation process.
- 10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN") Internal Review Process ("IRP") Final Declaration dated July 9, 2015.
- 11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy, as posted at <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/irp-procedure-declaration-14aug14-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/irp-procedure-declaration-14aug14-en.pdf</a>, of the ICANN IRP Declaration on the IRP Procedure dated August 14, 2014.
- 12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy, as I obtained it from ICANN, of the ICANN Applicant Guidebook (the "Guidebook") DCA referred to when preparing and filing its application for .Africa.
- 13. ICANN required DCA to agree to the terms and conditions in the Guidebook upon submitting its application for the .Africa gTLD.
- 14. ICANN did not afford DCA the opportunity to negotiate any terms in the Guidebook, including the covenant not to sue. Nor did DCA contribute to any of the language of the terms in the Guidebook.
- 15. In fact, Module 6 of the Guidebook states that the applicant must agree to the terms and conditions "without modification."

- DCA did not consult with an attorney regarding the provisions of the Guidebook before it signed, nor did ICANN encourage it to do so.
- 17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy, as posted at <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/bylaws-2012-02-25-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/bylaws-2012-02-25-en</a>, of ICANN's bylaws.
- 18. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 5** is a true and correct copy of the description of ICANN's Internal Review Process, as posted at ICANN's website at <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/reconsideration-and-independent-review-icann-bylaws-article-iv-accountability-and-review">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/reconsideration-and-independent-review-icann-bylaws-article-iv-accountability-and-review</a>.
- 19. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 6** is a true and correct copy of the August 27, 2009 DCA endorsement letter from the AUC to me.
- 20. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 7** is a true and correct copy of the April 16, 2010 letter from the AUC to me.
- 21. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 8** is a true and correct copy of the August 8, 2008 DCA endorsement letter from the United Nations Economic Commission on Africa ("UNECA") to me.
- 22. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 9** is a true and correct copy of the March 23, 2014 email I received as part of a group list email, from Alice Munyua. This email was also forwarded to me.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of the September 21, 2015
   letter from UNECA to Dr. Ibrahim, a representative of the AUC, on which I was copied.
- 24. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 11** is a true and correct copy of the August 7, 2012 endorsement letter from Kenya to me.
- 25. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 12** is a true and correct copy, as posted on ICANN's website at <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/crocker-to-ibrahim-08mar12-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/crocker-to-ibrahim-08mar12-en.pdf</a>, of the March 8, 2012 letter from ICANN to AUC.
- 26. Instead of allowing DCA's application to proceed through the remainder of the application process after the IRP, ICANN restarted DCA's application and re-reviewed its endorsements.
- 27. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 13** is a true and correct copy of the first set of clarifying questions ICANN issued to DCA on September 2, 2015.

- 28. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 14** is a true and correct copy of ICANN's response to DCA regarding the clarifying questions in the Initial Evaluation Results Report issued on October 13, 2015.
- 29. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 15** is a true and correct copy of the second set of clarifying questions ICANN issued to DCA on October 30, 2015 during the Extended Evaluation. The second set of clarifying questions from ICANN provided no further guidance or clarification to DCA on its application.
- 30. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 16** is a true and correct copy of the Extended Evaluation Report dated February 17, 2016 that DCA received from ICANN. DCA agreed to participate in an Extended Evaluation because it was hoping to gain insight into what more it needed for its application, but ICANN gave no further guidance or clarification.
- 31. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 17** is a true and correct copy of a March 15, 2013 email from Mark McFadden of the ICC to ICANN employees, as produced to DCA during the IRP discovery process.
- 32. The members of the AUC committee formed to choose who to endorse for the .Africa gTLD were individuals who were also members of other organizations affiliated with ZACR.
- 33. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 18** is a true and correct copy of ZACR's public application for the .Africa gTLD as posted on ICANN's website.
- 34. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 19** is a true and correct copy of the AUC Communique on the AUC selecting ZACR, <u>accessible at http://dotconnectafrica.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/AUC-dotAfrica-Communique-2.pdf</u>.
- 35. After reviewing the ZACR endorsements produced to DCA, I noted that only five specifically reference ZACR by name and that many of the letters were actually endorsing AUC's own initiative to make .Africa a "reserved" gTLD.
- 36. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 20** is a true and correct copy of the ICANN news article regarding InterConnect Communications ("ICC") published at <a href="https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/blog/preparing-evaluators-22nov11-en">https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/blog/preparing-evaluators-22nov11-en</a>.

- Attached hereto as Exhibit 21 is a true and correct copy, as produced to DCA from ICANN, of the October 15, 2012 email from the ICC to ICANN with attachment.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 22 is a true and correct copy, as produced to DCA by ICANN, of the April 9, 2013 email from Samuel Buruchara to Heather Dryden.
- 39. Attached hereto as Exhibit 23 is a true and correct copy, as produced to DCA by ICANN, of the April 10, 2013 email from Michael Kutundu to Heather Dryden.
- 4a. Attached hereto as Exhibit 24 is a true and correct copy, as posted at <a href="https://www.leann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/gac-to-board-18apr13-en.pdf">https://www.leann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/gac-to-board-18apr13-en.pdf</a>, of the April 11, 2015 GAC Communique.
- 41. Attached hereto as Exhibit 25 is a true and correct copy, as produced to DCA by ICANN, of the New GTLD Program Initial Evaluation Report for ZACR's application.
- 42. Attached hereto as Exhibit 26 is a true and correct copy of the meeting schedule for the ICANN meeting from March 5, 2016 through March 10, 2016, as posted on ICANN's GAC website and accessible at <a href="https://gacweb.icann.org/display/gacweb/Meeting+55%3A+Marrakech%2C+Morocco%2C+5-10+March+2016">https://gacweb.icann.org/display/gacweb/Meeting+55%3A+Marrakech%2C+Morocco%2C+5-10+March+2016</a>.
- 43. Attached hereto as Exhibit 27 is a true and correct copy, as posted at ICANN's website at <a href="https://gaeweb.icann.org/display/gaeweb/GAC+Operating+Principles">https://gaeweb.icann.org/display/gaeweb/GAC+Operating+Principles</a>, of the GAC Operating Principles.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 10, 2016.

Sophia Bekele Eshete